



UNIVERSITAS PERSADA INDONESIA Y.A.I FAKULTAS EKONOMI DAN BISNIS

Kampus A: Jl. Diponegoro No.74 Jakarta Pusat 10340, Indonesia
Telepon : (021) 3904858, 31936540 Fax: (021) 3140604

Jakarta, 6 Januari 2020

Nomor : 013/D/FEB UPI Y.A.I/I/2020
Lampiran : -
Perihal : Surat Tugas

Kepada Yth,

Dr. Ir. Harry Susilo, MM

Dosen Tetap Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis UPI Y.A.I


Di

Tempat

Bersama ini kami menugaskan kepada Bapak untuk menulis pada International Journal of Recent Scientific Research Research Article The Predict Of The Stock Return On The Commercial Banks: Insight The Signaling Theory Perfective. Vol. 11, issue, 02(F), pp.37639 – 37646, Pebruari 2020, ISSN; 0976-3031. DOI: 10.24327/IJRSR. Diharapkan dapat memberikan laporannya kepada kami, paling lambat 1 (satu) minggu setelah kegiatan tersebut

Demikian surat tugas ini dibuat untuk dapat dilaksanakan sebagaimana mestinya.

Hormat Kami,
Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis UPI Y.A.I



Dr. Marhalinda, SE, MM
Dekan

Tembusan :
Yth. Rektor Universitas Persada Indonesia Y.A.I



ISSN: 0976-3031

Available Online at <http://www.recentscientific.com>

CODEN: IJRSFP (USA)

International Journal of Recent Scientific Research
Vol. 11, Issue, 02 (F), pp. 37639-37646, February, 2020

**International Journal of
Recent Scientific
Research**

DOI: 10.24327/IJRSR

Research Article

THE PREDICT OF THE STOCK RETURN ON THE COMMERCIAL BANKS: INSIGHT THE SIGNALING THEORY PERFECTIVE

Iswandi, Dermawan Syahrial and Wilhelmus Hary Susilo

University of Persada Indonesia Y.A.I

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.24327/ijrsr.2020.1102.5149>

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received 4th November, 2019

Received in revised form 25th

December, 2019

Accepted 18th January, 2020

Published online 28th February, 2020

Key Words:

Bank health ratio, Rate, Exchange Rate, ROA, Stock Return.

ABSTRACT

Recently, the level of competitiveness entire the commercial banks in Asian countries and the many financial institutions within financial industries that conducted within hi-tech, could also made some tight competitions in Asian countries. The purpose of this inquiries were to synthesis of an affect of CAR, LDR, NPL, NIM, BOPO, Rate and Exchange Rate on Returns on Assets and their implications for Commercial Bank Stock Returns in Asian countries. Moreover, the data for the Regression Model as a tool to test data on commercial banks in Indonesia and Malaysia that are listed on the capital market. The commercial banks that will be sampled are ten known banks in Indonesia and ten known banks in Malaysia which have large total assets in Indonesia and Malaysia. The results of research on ROA in Indonesia found that from the results of the Chow Test, Hausman Test and LM test, the model chosen was the Fixed Effect Model and in Malaysia the Fixed Effect Model was selected while the estimation results of the research on Stock Return in Indonesia were found to be the chosen within the Fixed Effect The model and in Malaysia selected was the Common Effect Model.

An affect ROA significantly in Indonesia are NPL, NIM, BOPO, Rate and Exchange rates while in Malaysia are LDR, NIM, Rate and Exchange Rates. Furthermore, the significantly influence the Stock Return in Indonesia are NPL, BOPO, Rate and ROA, and in Malaysia are CAR, LDR, BOPO, Rate and Exchange. Whereas in Indonesia and Malaysia together that the independent variable significantly influences ROA, while for Share Returns in Indonesia and Malaysia together that the independent variable significantly influences the Stock Return, with a confidence level of 95%. It was found that commercial banks had the highest sensitivity to changes in independent and dependent variables (ROA) in Indonesia, namely Bank Pan Indonesia Tbk and in Malaysia were Public Banking Berhad while banks with the lowest sensitivity in Indonesia were Bank Central Asia Tbk and in Malaysia was RHB Bank Berhad. Finally, the commercial bank that has the highest sensitivity to changes in the independent variable and the dependent variable (Share Retrun) in Indonesia is Bank Maybank Indonesia Tbk while the lowest sensitivity is Bank Tabungan Negara Tbk and the results of research on Stock Returns in Malaysia that are selected are the Common Effect Model and are not available bank sensitivity.

Copyright © Iswandi, Dermawan Syahrial and Wilhelmus Hary Susilo, 2020, this is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

The robust tangible assets as like the well knowledge in among the commercial banks in Asian could become important factors for sustainable business for made more competitiveness in sustain- business that conducted within innovatively in business. (Luca, Maia, Cardoso, Vasconcelos, & Cunha, 2014; Winkelbach & Walter, 2015; Zacharias, Nijssen, & Stock, 2016; Zaichkowsky, Parlee, & Hill, 2010) . Furthermore, for increase the commercial banks- value would shows the market positions and it's prospected for the future that could be impact to the stakeholders that conducted measured within Tobins'Q

ratio. (Brigham and Houston, 2017) (Bell, Filatotchev, & Aguilera, 2013; L.-L. Chang, Hsiao, & Tsai, 2013) (Bontis, Crossan, & Hulland, 2002; H.-S. Park, Auh, Maher, & Singhapakdi, 2012; Saridakis, Mole, & Hay, 2012). Hence, in a few years ago, the level of profitability in commercials bank has been decreased, cause of the credit ratio and the tight regulations (Guo & Wang, 2016; B.-J. Park, Srivastava, & Gnyawali, 2014; Railienė & Sinevičienė, 2015).

Recently, the level of competitiveness entire the commercial banks in Asian countries and the many financial institutions within financial industries that conducted within hi-tech, could

*Corresponding author: Iswandi,
University of Persada Indonesia Y.A.I

also made some tight competitions in Asian countries. (Allen Schaefer & Haytko, 2013; González, Rodríguez Gil, Martorell Cunill, & Merigó Lindahl, 2016; Perin, Sampaio, Jiménez-Jiménez, & Cegarra-Navarro, 2016; Scheiner, Baccarella, Bessant, & Voigt, 2017)

Furthermore, the data of firms values gaps in Asian countries and average, as follow figure 1 below:




Figure 1 The gaps of ROA in ASEAN Countries
(Source: Federal Reserve Economic Data, 2017)

The reveals gaps in this inquires have how increased the leads to attempt the stock returns that conducted to full fill this gaps with the research model for an among commercial banks in Asian that listed. Furthermore, the scholars indicated the research gaps that conducted within inconsistency result to improve the stock returns within ROA in the process for the sustainability in business in commercial banks industries (Basuil & Datta, 2017; Carlucci & Schiuma, 2018; Hankammer & Kleer, 2017; Lages, 2016; O’Cass & Sok, 2013; Yan & Wagner, 2017; Zacharias *et al.*, 2016). The scholars were focus of the research model that conducted within to offering the predicted variables pertains; CAR, LDR, NPL, NIM, BOPO, RATE, EXCHANGE RATE, ROA and the stock return for achieved the market positions and financial performance within the competitiveness advantage entire the commercial banks in Asian countries. (L.-L. Chang *et al.*, 2013; Douglas, 2013; Faroughian, Kalafatis, Ledden, Samouel, & Tsogas, 2012; González *et al.*, 2016; Herrera & Sanchez-Gonzalez, 2012; Lim & Yong, 2016; Martins & Lopes, 2016; Oh, Peters, & Johnston, 2014; Shelby, 2012; Tan, Smyrniotis, & Xiong, 2014; Yu, Li, Tian, & Zhang, 2013)

Literature review and hypothesis development

The positive accounting should own some the truth that the social – movement reality to the human essentials within independently behavior that could increase the firms value also for trust and control that push and policy pull.(Catchpowle & Smyth, 2016; ter Bogt & Tillema, 2016; Vardon, Burnett, & Dovers, 2016; Winkelbach & Walter, 2015). Hence, despite the scholarly, the trade of theories could be equilibrium for the benefit and cost cause of the liability utility in business the commercial banks for long run, if the benefit more bigger than the liability portions that could be more added till the maximized to attempt the firms value in business in many industries to the value creation.(Anokhin, Peck, & Wincent, 2016; Blanchard, Gagné, & Mathieu, 2012; Chan & Park, 2013; Ciravegna & Brenes, 2016; Geigenmüller, 2010; Heirati, O’Cass, Schoefer, & Siahtiri, 2016; La Rocca, La Rocca, & Cariola, 2010; Shelby, 2007)

The Signaling theory supports within this study within among the commercial banks that should an involved that could

conveying the great information’s products and the services in emerging markets to another party and the stakeholder and also the principals to pursue the future prospects for the investors and the stake holders. Furthermore, the executives in the enterprise should state the best information’s in their corporate to entire the prospects investors to pursues and increase the stocks- price and the market- orientations for the sustainable business. (Duffy & Muñoz-garcía, 2015; Mackelprang, Habermann, & Swink, 2015; Mavlanova, Benbunan-Fich, & Koufaris, 2012)(Ross, 1977), (Josh, Jeff, Eric, & Phillip, 2016; Song, Wang, & Cavusgil, 2015) (Krafft, Albers, & Lal, 2004) (Claro & Bortoluzzo, 2015; Kloviene, Gimzauskiene, & Misiunas, 2015; Thomas, 2015; Wiersma, 2017; Zhang, 1998)

Actually, the signaling model development have an explaining the firm- investment structure base on the asymmetric information’s- base, that conducted between the managers with well informed and the outsider within poorly informed and also indicated a view the prospects within the commercial banks across the countries in Asian. Hence, the model should be develop conducted idea- based that the mangers of the commercial banks belong the best information’s within the firms and would be deliver to new- investors and the stake holders to increase the stocks- price. Furthermore, the asymmetric information’s within entire the commercial banks could the managers not be able only provide the entire well information but among managers from other firms that could deliver an other information and make the investor not in believe. Moreover, the signaling have an indicated for the commercial banks managers in Asian to take an actions within banks- management that delivered on an investors how the counting and rating some prospects for the future opportunities.(Hingley, Lindgreen, & Grant, 2015; Wallnöfer & Hacklin, 2013) (Duffy & Muñoz-garcía, 2015; Mavlanova *et al.*, 2012).(Ellili, 2011) Brigham and Houston (2017) (Krause, Whitler, & Semadeni, 2013) (Dutta, 2012; Palmatier, Houston, Dant, & Grewal, 2013)

Proposed the frame work and hypothesis development

The scholars have develop for the research framework to fulfill the research gaps for inquiries the knowledge that could make the contributions of knowledge of the management and business theories and its could to improved to firm value within entire companies in Indonesia. (L.-L. Chang *et al.*, 2013; W. Chang, Ellinger, Kim, & Franke, 2016; Martins & Lopes, 2016; Perin *et al.*, 2016; Pradhan, 2016; Taghieh, Taghieh, & Poorzamani, 2013). Furthermore, within the emerging- market, competitive advantage and performance should be based for making the action plan for run the business.(Castaño, Méndez, & Galindo, 2016; Demirkan & Spohrer, 2014; Kapferer, 2012; Torun & Çicekci, 2007) As follow in figure 2. below:




Figure 2 The Research Model Conducted within to Attempt the Commercial Banks on the Stock Returns (Sources: Develop by authors)

The hypotheses in these investigate while base on the empirical evidence above, therefore:

Ha: The CAR, LDR, NPL, NIM, BOPO, RATE, EXCHANGE RATE, ROA were positively related partially and simultaneously to the Stock- Returns in Commercial Banks.

Research method

The analysis was improved some objective conducted within the quantitative- based business research in management science within the positivist approach thought the deductive reasoning and to gain the result to confirmed entire hypothesis also could predicted to the entire commercial banks to pursue the stock returns that listed on the Stock Exchange in 2013-2017. (Christopher, 2010; Kloviene *et al.*, 2015; Matei & Drumasu, 2015; Peter, Tamyko, & Daniel, 2017)

Sample and data collection

The data source from the commercial banks within the Indonesian and Malaysian for this empirical study that publications intensified, an entire of the 53 firms based within explore and investigated for 5th years and also from website of the IDX in period of 2013- 2017. Hence, in this inquiries conducted within twenty the commercial- banks, that listed in stock- market in their countries.

Measurement variables

In this investigated were have some the observation forms to achieved the secondary data that finding from the report of economic statistically that listing on the range of 2013- 2017.

Data analysis and findings

Data analysis

The data analysis were conducted within five years to publications entire variable cause the important thing in this investigation to pursues the commercial banks returns of stock that measure conducted, that the one of alternatively for measure the ROA and the implications to returns of stock in commercial banks (L.-L. Chang *et al.*, 2013; Guo, Wang, & Zhu, 2016; Hjort & Lantz, 2016; Martins & Lopes, 2016), as follow table 1 below:

Table 1 The Descriptive data statistics

	RS	ROA	RATE	NPL	NIM	LDR	KURS	CAR	BOPO
Mean	0.241584	2.462733	6.376503	2.321482	5.929478	90.85490	13031.61	18.25009	76.84937
Median	0.099293	2.365000	6.479167	2.190000	5.310000	90.49375	13319.00	18.25000	78.74500
Maximum	3.487770	5.030000	7.541667	4.050000	9.600000	108.8600	13795.00	23.06000	97.75000
Minimum	-1.468148	0.210000	4.562500	0.440000	3.060000	75.35000	12189.00	12.72000	58.65000
Std. Dev.	0.779962	1.091134	1.092081	0.955594	1.561991	7.457060	605.3439	2.453965	9.499274
Skewness	2.625681	0.372828	-0.514212	0.203035	0.864214	0.229273	-0.273471	0.219824	-0.168214
Kurtosis	11.77868	2.415849	2.057144	2.355771	3.058339	3.247565	1.478697	2.415085	2.246572
Jarque-Bera	218.0041	1.869238	4.055486	1.208176	6.230973	0.565734	5.444813	1.115450	1.418412
Probability	0.000000	0.392735	0.131632	0.546573	0.044357	0.753620	0.065716	0.572510	0.492035
Sum	12.07920	123.1367	318.8251	116.0741	296.4739	4542.745	651580.6	912.5044	3842.468
Sum Sq. Dev.	29.80867	58.33808	58.43941	44.74487	119.5510	2724.779	17955622	295.0752	4421.574
Observations	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50

	RS	ROA	RATE	NPL	NIM	LDR	KURS	CAR	BOPO
Mean	-0.049555	1.133329	3.100000	1.536200	2.205187	87.68240	3.623952	15.35920	48.08100
Median	-0.005362	1.040000	3.000000	1.490000	2.230000	87.75000	3.545213	15.00500	48.05000
Maximum	0.249852	3.300000	3.250000	3.400000	2.850000	96.40000	4.301853	19.30000	63.30000
Minimum	-1.494172	0.550000	3.000000	0.400000	1.640000	71.03000	2.982037	12.80000	30.00000
Std. Dev.	0.298547	0.350442	0.123718	0.806719	0.279996	5.391119	0.528925	1.762178	8.261394
Skewness	-3.026746	1.379392	0.408248	0.658974	-0.088997	-0.584832	0.108830	0.510455	-0.353991
Kurtosis	14.17276	4.998165	1.166667	2.719298	3.021640	1.187809	1.339550	2.518145	2.839125
Jarque-Bera	336.4068	24.17406	8.391204	3.782875	0.066979	2.923723	5.842645	2.655083	1.098166
Probability	0.000000	0.000006	0.015062	0.150855	0.967065	0.231804	0.053862	0.265128	0.577479
Sum	-2.477734	56.66645	155.0000	76.81000	110.2594	4384.120	181.1976	767.9600	2404.050
Sum Sq. Dev.	4.367383	6.017682	0.750000	31.88898	3.841498	1424.144	13.70832	152.1584	3344.281
Observations	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50

(Source: prime data by authors, 2020)

Moreover, in this study the researcher have evaluated that the fixed effect model and the Common Effect Model has the right- way to conducted within this investigated to pursue the

stock returns an among the commercial banks in Asian, as follow table 2 below:

Table 2 Output Eviews Ver.10 of the Model- Estimate Model-Fixed Effect (Indonesian) and Common Effect Model (Malaysia)

Dependent Variable: ROA?		
Method: Pooled EGLS (Cross-section weights)		
Date:	02/17/20	Time: 18:56
Sample:	1 5	
Included observations:	5	
Cross-sections included:	10	
Total pool (balanced) observations:	50	
Linear estimation after one-step weighting matrix		
Variable	Coefficien t	Std. Error
C	5.274040	0.710271
CAR?	0.060538	0.045696
LDR?	0.126184	0.421153
NPL?	-0.066511	0.017757
NIM?	0.776955	0.046374
BOPO?	-3.751886	0.475971
RATE?	0.054797	0.020836
KURS?	0.286604	0.068178
Fixed Effects (Cross)		
BBCA--C	-0.156375	BMRI--C
BBNI--C	0.037277	BNGA--C
BBRI--C	-0.051030	BNII--C
BBTN--C	0.091053	NISP--C
BDMN--C	-0.123553	PNBN--C
Effects Specification		
Cross-section fixed (dummy variables)		
Weighted Statistics		
		Mean
R-squared	0.951525	dependent var
		S.D.
Adjusted R-squared	0.928022	dependent var
		Sum
S.E. of regression	0.088505	squared resid
		Durbin-Watson stat
F-statistic	40.48503	
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000	

$$ROA = 5.274040 - 0.060538 CAR + 0.126184 LDR - 0.066511 NPL + 0.776955 NIM - 3.751886 BOPO + 0.054797 Rate + 0.286604 Kurs.(1)$$

Dependent Variable: RS?
 Method: Pooled EGLS (Cross-section weights)
 Date: 02/17/20 Time: 16:30
 Sample: 1 5
 Included observations: 5
 Cross-sections included: 10
 Total pool (balanced) observations: 50
 Linear estimation after one-step weighting matrix

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	-11.29566	4.799622	-2.353447	0.0249
CAR?	0.031418	0.036932	0.850705	0.4013
LDR?	-0.004465	0.019395	-0.230221	0.8194
NPL?	-0.891422	0.151921	-5.867649	0.0000
NIM?	-0.139211	0.135417	-1.028017	0.3117
BOPO?	0.162695	0.055646	2.923745	0.0063
RATE?	-0.444636	0.070857	-6.275069	0.0000
KURS?	0.000139	0.000105	1.318476	0.1967
ROA?	1.132464	0.509188	2.224058	0.0333
Fixed Effects (Cross)				
BBCA-C	-0.370936	BMRI-C	0.475066	
BBNI-C	0.876185	BNGA-C	0.851682	
BBRI-C	-0.584053	BNII-C	-1.035953	
BBTN-C	1.254558	NISP-C	-0.743509	
BDMN-C	-0.281069	PNBN-C	-0.441970	
Effects Specification				
Cross-section fixed (dummy variables)				
Weighted Statistics				
R-squared	0.703929	Mean dependent var	0.245352	
Adjusted R-squared	0.546641	S.D. dependent var	0.700168	
S.E. of regression	0.483139	Sum squared resid	7.469557	
F-statistic	4.475424	Durbin-Watson stat	2.294545	
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000131			

$$RS = -11.29566 + 0.031418 \text{ CAR} - 0.004465 \text{ LDR} - 0.891422 \text{ NPL} - 0.139211 \text{ NIM} + 0.162695 \text{ BOPO} - 0.444636 \text{ Rate} + 0.000139 \text{ Kurs} + 0.281069 \text{ ROA} \dots (2).$$

Dependent Variable: ROA?
 Method: Pooled EGLS (Cross-section weights)
 Date: 02/11/20 Time: 09:42
 Sample: 1 5
 Included observations: 5
 Cross-sections included: 10
 Total pool (balanced) observations: 50
 Linear estimation after one-step weighting matrix

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	3.558345	0.819720	4.340925	0.0001
CAR?	-0.061644	0.013450	-4.583084	0.0000
LDR?	-0.002754	0.004986	-0.552291	0.5837
NPL?	-0.148165	0.037096	-3.994071	0.0003
NIM?	0.196797	0.093872	2.096447	0.0421
BOPO?	-0.011029	0.003580	-3.080660	0.0036
RATE	-0.297516	0.193261	-1.539454	0.1312
KURS	0.000657	0.056832	0.011562	0.9908
Weighted Statistics				
R-squared	0.673852	Mean dependent var	1.830479	
Adjusted R-squared	0.619494	S.D. dependent var	0.910473	
S.E. of regression	0.253903	Sum squared resid	2.707610	
F-statistic	12.39655	Durbin-Watson stat	1.397139	
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000			

$$ROA = 3.558345 - 0.061644 \text{ CAR} - 0.002754 \text{ LDR} - 0.148165 \text{ NPL} + 0.196797 \text{ NIM} - 0.011029 \text{ BOPO} + 0.297516 \text{ Rate} + 0.000657 \text{ Kurs} \dots (3).$$

Dependent Variable: RS?
 Method: Pooled EGLS (Cross-section weights)
 Date: 02/08/20 Time: 23:56
 Sample: 1 5
 Included observations: 5
 Cross-sections included: 10
 Total pool (balanced) observations: 50
 Linear estimation after one-step weighting matrix

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	2.510942	0.658150	3.815151	0.0005
ROA?	0.091114	0.070879	1.285481	0.2058
CAR?	0.026557	0.011648	2.279984	0.0279
LDR?	-0.008843	0.003814	-2.318460	0.0255
NPL?	0.006684	0.037757	0.177030	0.8604
NIM?	0.050110	0.085453	0.586411	0.5608
BOPO?	-0.005978	0.002273	-2.630246	0.0120
RATE	-0.531318	0.143084	-3.713316	0.0006
KURS	-0.118037	0.036125	-3.267464	0.0022

Weighted Statistics			
R-squared	0.554995	Mean dependent var	0.030425
Adjusted R-squared	0.468165	S.D. dependent var	0.293340
S.E. of regression	0.202339	Sum squared resid	1.678580
F-statistic	6.391722	Durbin-Watson stat	2.335220
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000022		

$$RS = 2.510942 + 0.026557 \text{ CAR} - 0.008843 \text{ LDR} + 0.006684 \text{ NPL} + 0.050110 \text{ NIM} - 0.005978 \text{ BOPO} - 0.531318 \text{ Rate} - 0.118037 \text{ Kurs} + 0.091114 \text{ ROA} \dots (4).$$

(source: prime data 2020)

The hypothesis testing

The important results with the robust methodical in business and this investigates to attempted the commercial banks in Asian of the stock returns were to delineate of the research hypothesis as follow in table 3 as below (Guo & Wang, 2016; Limakrisna & Yoserizal, 2016; Skvarciany & Iljins, 2015):

Table 3 The delineated of research hypothesis INA/MLY

No	Hypothesis descriptions	Coefficient	t/F- value	Remark
H ₁	CAR to ROA	.06/-.001	1.3/- .11	NS
H ₂	LDR to ROA	.12/-.02	.29/ -2.46	NS/SS
H ₃	NPL to ROA	-.06/-.05	-3.74/-.70	S/NS
H ₄	NIM to ROA	.77/.20	16.75/2.05	S
H ₅	BOPO to ROA	-3.75/-.002	-7.88/-.58	S/NS
H ₆	RATE to ROA	.05/-.28	2.62/ -2.39	S
H ₇	KURS to ROA	.28/-.10	4.20/ -2.06	S
H ₈	CAR, LDR, NPL, BOPO, RATE, KURS to ROA	R ² = .95/ .92	40.48/ 24.23	S
H ₉	CAR to RS	.03/ .09	.85/ 2.27	NS/S
H ₁₀	LDR to RS	-.004/ .02	-.23/ -2.31	NS/S
H ₁₁	NPL to RS	-.89/-.008	-5.86/ .17	S/NS
H ₁₂	NIM to RS	-.13/ .006	-1.02/ .58	NS
H ₁₃	BOPO to RS	.16/ .05	2.93/ -2.63	S
H ₁₄	RATE to RS	-.44/-.005	-6.27/ -2.63	S
H ₁₅	KURS to RS	.0001/-.005	1.31/ -3.26	NS/S
H ₁₆	ROA to RS	1.13/-.11	2.22/ 1.28	S/NS
H ₁₇	CAR, LDR, NPL, BOPO, RATE, KURS and ROA to RS	R ² = .70/ .55	4.47/ 6.39	S

(source: prime data- output- Eviews 10).

Conclusions, research contribution and directions for future research

CONCLUSIONS

The results of entire hypothesis testing indicated the proved empirically (NIM, RATE, KURS) the determinants of ROA, and their implications for the stock returns (BOPO, RATE) of companies- the commercials banks listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX) during the period 2013- 2017. Hence, the higher impact has ROA to pursue firm value (TOBIN). Finally, the simultaneously has Statistically Significant with the contribution value 70.39% and 55.49%. Furthermore, the results for Indonesia's commercial banks, the ROA that could affected the stock of returns supported the Signaling theory within the well information's the best prospected to the communities and the stakeholders at all.(Mavlanova *et al.*, 2012)

Research contributions

The empirically from this study could be delivering some contributions to develop and to body of knowledge the Signaling theory that conducted to the investments for the future.(Kazlauskienė, 2015; Mavlanova *et al.*, 2012). Moreover, the result of this study will be providing the many financial- information's to leads some positively- investor perceptions, the level of high gain cause its have doing investments with big money. (Nix & Zacharia, 2014; Perin *et al.*, 2016) (Bell *et al.*, 2013; Bhootra & Hur, 2012; L.-L. Chang *et al.*, 2013). Hence, the practical implications from this inquiry could have for guidance entire the managers of the commercials banks to conducted and manage the financial performance more focus within service- dominant focus strategy.(Cohen, 2015; Dibrell & Moeller, 2011)

Limitations and future research

Actually, the data has not enough robust for only at range five years (2013- 2017) to conducted within regression analysis and the outcome would less accurate and power for doing predictions. (Cheng & Coyte, 2014; Iwai & Azevedo, 2016). Furthermore, an investigation was could improve for the future research in across many- countries in Asian that conducted within some other among variables pertains; Firms- value, EPS, CSR, and firm- cash flow and also corporate reputations on competitive advantage, the financial structure, the incentive and also developing relational capital. (Šontaitė-Petkevičienė, 2015; Wei, Kim, Miao, Behnke, & Almanza, 2018) (Adeosun & Ganiyu, 2013; Jiang, Issa, & Malek, 2011; Šontaitė-Petkevičienė, 2015; Tang & Tang, 2012; Wang, 2014) (Gregorio Martín de, Pedro López, & José Emilio Navas, 2004; Pradhan, 2016)

References

- Adeosun, L. P. K., & Ganiyu, R. A. (2013). Corporate Reputation as a Strategic Asset. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, 4(2), 220-225.
- Allen Schaefer, A., & Haytko, D. L. (2013). Corporate partnering for role play competitions in an advanced selling course *Journal of Instructional Pedagogies*, 9.
- Anokhin, S., Peck, S., & Wincent, J. (2016). Corporate venture capital: The role of governance factors. *Journal of Business Research*, 69(11), 4744-4749. doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2016.04.024
- Basuil, D. A., & Datta, D. K. (2017). Value creation in cross-border acquisitions: The role of outside directors' human and social capital. *Journal of Business Research*, 80, 35-44. doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2017.07.002
- Bell, R. G., Filatotchev, I., & Aguilera, R. V. (2013). Corporate Governance and Investors' Perceptions of Foreign IPO Value: An Institutional Perspective. *Academy of Management Journal*, 57(1), 301-320. doi: 10.5465/amj.2011.0146
- Bhootra, A., & Hur, J. (2012). On the relationship between concentration of prospect theory/mental accounting investors, cointegration, and momentum. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 36(5), 1266-1275. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2011.11.021
- Blanchard, P., Gaigné, C., & Mathieu, C. (2012). Trade costs and international strategy of firms: The role of endogenous product differentiation. *Regional Science and Urban Economics*, 42(6), 1023-1036. doi: 10.1016/j.regsciurbeco.2012.07.008
- Bontis, N., Crossan, M. M., & Hulland, J. (2002). Managing an organizational learning system by aligning stocks and flows. *Journal of Management Studies*, 39(4), 32.
- Carlucci, D., & Schiuma, G. (2018). An introduction to the special issue "The arts as sources of value creation for business: Theory, research, and practice". *Journal of Business Research*, 85, 337-341. doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2017.10.034
- Castaño, M. S., Méndez, M. T., & Galindo, M. Á. (2016). The effect of public policies on entrepreneurial activity and economic growth. *Journal of Business Research*, 69(11), 5280-5285. doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2016.04.125
- Catchpole, L., & Smyth, S. (2016). Accounting and social movements: An exploration of critical accounting praxis. *Accounting Forum*. doi: 10.1016/j.accfor.2016.05.001
- Chan, C. S. R., & Park, H. D. (2013). The influence of dispositional affect and cognition on venture investment portfolio concentration. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 28(3), 397-412. doi: 10.1016/j.jbusvent.2012.02.006
- Chang, L.-L., Hsiao, F. D., & Tsai, Y.-C. (2013). Earnings, institutional investors, tax avoidance, and firm value: Evidence from Taiwan. *Journal of International Accounting, Auditing and Taxation*, 22(2), 98-108. doi: 10.1016/j.intaccudtax.2013.07.001
- Chang, W., Ellinger, A. E., Kim, K., & Franke, G. R. (2016). Supply chain integration and firm financial performance: A meta-analysis of positional advantage mediation and moderating factors. *European Management Journal*, 34(3), 282-295. doi: 10.1016/j.emj.2015.11.008
- Cheng, M. M., & Coyte, R. (2014). The effects of incentive subjectivity and strategy communication on knowledge-sharing and extra-role behaviours. *Management Accounting Research*, 25(2), 119-130. doi: 10.1016/j.mar.2013.07.003
- Christopher, J. (2010). Corporate governance—A multi-theoretical approach to recognizing the wider influencing forces impacting on organizations. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 21(8), 683-695. doi: 10.1016/j.cpa.2010.05.002
- Ciravegna, L., & Brenes, E. R. (2016). Learning to become a high reliability organization in the food retail business.

- Journal of Business Research*, 69(10), 4499-4506. doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2016.03.015
- Claro, D. P., & Bortoluzzo, A. B. (2015). Profiling the Buzz Agent: Product Referral and the Study of Social Community and Brand Attachment. *BAR - Brazilian Administration Review*, 12(2), 209-228. doi: 10.1590/1807-7692bar2015140076
- Cohen, D. J. (2015). HR past, present and future: A call for consistent practices and a focus on competencies. *Human Resource Management Review*, 25(2), 205-215. doi: 10.1016/j.hrmr.2015.01.006
- Demirkan, H., & Spohrer, J. (2014). Developing a framework to improve virtual shopping in digital malls with intelligent self-service systems. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 21(5), 860-868. doi: 10.1016/j.jretconser.2014.02.012
- Dibrell, C., & Moeller, M. (2011). The impact of a service-dominant focus strategy and stewardship culture on organizational innovativeness in family-owned businesses. *Journal of Family Business Strategy*, 2(1), 43-51. doi: 10.1016/j.jfbs.2011.01.004
- Douglas, E. J. (2013). Reconstructing entrepreneurial intentions to identify predisposition for growth. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 28(5), 633-651. doi: 10.1016/j.jbusvent.2012.07.005
- Duffy, J., & Muñoz-garcía, F. (2015). Cooperation and signaling with uncertain social preferences. *Theory and Decision*, 78(1), 45-75. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11238-013-9400-5>
- Dutta, S. (2012). Vulnerability to Low-Price Signals: An Experimental Study of the Effectiveness of Genuine and Deceptive Signals. *Journal of Retailing*, 88(1), 156-167. doi: 10.1016/j.jretai.2011.08.003
- Faroughian, F. F., Kalafatis, S. P., Ledden, L., Samouel, P., & Tsogas, M. H. (2012). Value and risk in business-to-business e-banking. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 41(1), 68-81. doi: 10.1016/j.indmarman.2011.11.012
- Geigenmüller, A. (2010). The role of virtual trade fairs in relationship value creation. *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing*, 25(4), 284-292. doi: 10.1108/08858621011038243
- González, L. O., Rodríguez Gil, L. I., Martorell Cunill, O., & Merigó Lindahl, J. M. (2016). The effect of financial innovation on European banks' risk. *Journal of Business Research*, 69(11), 4781-4786. doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2016.04.030
- Gregorio Martín de, C., Pedro López, S., & José Emilio Navas, L. (2004). The role of corporate reputation in developing relational capital. *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, 5(4), 575-585. doi: 10.1108/14691930410567022
- Guo, C., & Wang, Y. (2016). Market orientation, distributor relationship, and return on assets: Optimizing distribution performance for industrial firms. *Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics*, 28(1), 107-123. doi: 10.1108/APJML-01-2015-0014
- Guo, C., Wang, Y., & Zhu, Y. (2016). Distributor orientation and channel profitability for manufacturing-centered SMEs: Moderating role of competitive intensity and coordinative intensity. *Journal of Research in Marketing and Entrepreneurship*, 18(2), 197-210. doi: 10.1108/JRME-11-2014-0029
- Hankammer, S., & Kleer, R. (2017). Degrowth and collaborative value creation: Reflections on concepts and technologies. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.03.046
- Heirati, N., O'Casey, A., Schoefer, K., & Siahtiri, V. (2016). Do professional service firms benefit from customer and supplier collaborations in competitive, turbulent environments? *Industrial Marketing Management*, 55, 50-58. doi: 10.1016/j.indmarman.2016.02.011
- Herrera, L., & Sanchez-Gonzalez, G. (2012). Firm size and innovation policy. *International Small Business Journal*, 31(2), 137-155. doi: 10.1177/0266242611405553
- Hingley, M., Lindgreen, A., & Grant, D. B. (2015). Intermediaries in power-laden retail supply chains: An opportunity to improve buyer-supplier relationships and collaboration. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 50, 78-84. doi: 10.1016/j.indmarman.2015.05.025
- Hjort, K., & Lantz, B. (2016). The impact of returns policies on profitability: A fashion e-commerce case. *Journal of Business Research*, 69(11), 4980-4985. doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2016.04.064
- Iwai, T., & Azevedo, P. F. d. (2016). Economic Incentives or Communication: How Different Are their Effects on Trust. *BAR - Brazilian Administration Review*, 13(3). doi: 10.1590/1807-7692bar2016160032
- Jiang, A., Issa, R. R. A., & Malek, M. (2011). Construction Project Cash Flow Planning Using the Pareto Optimality Efficiency Network Model. *Journal of Civil Engineering & Management*, 17(4), 510-519. doi: 10.3846/13923730.2011.604537
- Josh, B., Jeff, M., Eric, L., & Phillip, E. D. (2016). Agency theory: the times, they are a-changin'. *Management Decision*, 54(1), 174-193. doi: 10.1108/MD-02-2015-0058
- Kapferer, J.-N. (2012). Abundant rarity: The key to luxury growth. *Business Horizons*, 55(5), 453-462. doi: 10.1016/j.bushor.2012.04.002
- Kazlauskienė, V. (2015). Application of Social Discount Rate for Assessment of Public Investment Projects. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 213, 461-467. doi: 10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.11.434
- Kloviene, R., Gimzauskiene, E., & Misiunas, D. (2015). The Significance of SOEs Performance Measurement as Policy Instrument in Baltic Countries. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 213, 286-292. doi: 10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.11.539
- Krafft, M., Albers, S., & Lal, R. (2004). Relative explanatory power of agency theory and transaction cost analysis in German salesforces. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 21(3), 265-283. doi: 10.1016/j.ijresmar.2003.11.001
- Krause, R., Whitley, K. A., & Semadeni, M. (2013). Power to the Principals! An Experimental Look at Shareholder Say-On-Pay Voting. *Academy of Management Journal*, 57(1), 94-115. doi: 10.5465/amj.2012.0035
- La Rocca, M., La Rocca, T., & Cariola, A. (2010). The influence of local institutional differences on the capital structure of SMEs: Evidence from Italy. *International Small Business Journal*, 28(3), 234-257. doi: 10.1177/0266242609360614
- Lages, L. F. (2016). VCW—Value Creation Wheel: Innovation, technology, business, and society. *Journal of*

- Business Research*, 69(11), 4849-4855. doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2016.04.042
- Lim, C. Y., & Yong, K. O. (2016). Regulatory pressure and income smoothing by banks in response to anticipated changes to the Basel II Accord. *China Journal of Accounting Research*. doi: 10.1016/j.cjar.2016.08.003
- Limakrisna, N., & Yoserizal, S. (2016). Determinants of marketing performance: empirical study at National Commercial Bank in Jakarta Indonesia. *Springerplus*, 5(1), 1693. doi: 10.1186/s40064-016-3362-3
- Luca, M. M. M. D., Maia, A. B. G. R., Cardoso, V. I. d. C., Vasconcelos, A. C. d., & Cunha, J. V. A. d. (2014). Intangible Assets and Superior and Sustained Performance of Innovative Brazilian Firms. *BAR - Brazilian Administration Review*, 11(4), 407-440. doi: 10.1590/1807-7692bar2014130012
- Mackelprang, A. W., Habermann, M., & Swink, M. (2015). How firm innovativeness and unexpected product reliability failures affect profitability. *Journal of Operations Management*. doi: 10.1016/j.jom.2015.06.001
- Martins, M. M., & Lopes, I. T. (2016). Intellectual Capital and Profitability: A Firm Value Approach in the European Companies. *Verslas: teorija ir praktika*, 17(3), 234-242. doi: 10.3846/btp.2016.673
- Matei, A., & Drumasu, C. (2015). Corporate Governance and Public Sector Entities. *Procedia Economics and Finance*, 26, 495-504. doi: 10.1016/s2212-5671(15)00879-5
- Mavlanova, T., Benbunan-Fich, R., & Koufaris, M. (2012). Signaling theory and information asymmetry in online commerce. *Information & Management*, 49(5), 240-247. doi: 10.1016/j.im.2012.05.004
- Nix, N. W., & Zacharia, Z. G. (2014). The impact of collaborative engagement on knowledge and performance gains in episodic collaborations. *The International Journal of Logistics Management*, 25(2), 245-269. doi: 10.1108/IJLM-05-2013-0060
- 10.1108/IJLM-07-2012-0066
- 10.1108/IJLM-01-2013-0001
- O'Cass, A., & Sok, P. (2013). Exploring innovation driven value creation in B2B service firms: The roles of the manager, employees, and customers in value creation. *Journal of Business Research*, 66(8), 1074-1084. doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2012.03.004
- Oh, J.-H., Peters, L. D., & Johnston, W. J. (2014). Who's acquiring whom? — Experimental evidence of firm size effect on B2B mergers and marketing/sales tasks. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 43(6), 1035-1044. doi: 10.1016/j.indmarman.2014.05.016
- Palmatier, R. W., Houston, M. B., Dant, R. P., & Grewal, D. (2013). Relationship Velocity: Toward A Theory of Relationship Dynamics *Journal of Marketing*, 77(13-30).
- Park, B.-J., Srivastava, M. K., & Gnyawali, D. R. (2014). Walking the tight rope of coopetition: Impact of competition and cooperation intensities and balance on firm innovation performance. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 43(2), 210-221. doi: 10.1016/j.indmarman.2013.11.003
- Park, H.-S., Auh, S., Maher, A. A., & Singhapakdi, A. (2012). Marketing's accountability and internal legitimacy: Implications for firm performance. *Journal of Business Research*, 65(11), 1576-1582. doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2011.02.042
- Perin, M. G., Sampaio, C. H., Jiménez-Jiménez, D., & Cegarra-Navarro, J. G. (2016). Network Effects on Radical Innovation and Financial Performance: An Open-mindedness Approach. *BAR - Brazilian Administration Review*, 13(4). doi: 10.1590/1807-7692bar2016160057
- Peter, D., Tamyko, Y., & Daniel, S. (2017). Corporate governance of state-owned enterprises: a systematic analysis of empirical literature. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, 30(5), 447-466. doi: 10.1108/IJPSM-10-2016-0163
- Pradhan, S. (2016). Impact of corporate social responsibility intensity on corporate reputation and financial performance of Indian firms. *Verslas: teorija ir praktika*, 17(4), 371-380. doi: 10.3846/btp.17.11123
- Railienė, G., & Sinevičienė, L. (2015). Performance Valuation of Credit Unions Having Social and Self-sustaining Aim. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 213, 423-429. doi: 10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.11.561
- Saridakis, G., Mole, K., & Hay, G. (2012). Liquidity constraints in the first year of trading and firm performance. *International Small Business Journal*, 31(5), 520-535. doi: 10.1177/0266242611410091
- Scheiner, C. W., Baccarella, C. V., Bessant, J., & Voigt, K.-I. (2017). PARTICIPATION MOTIVES, MORAL DISENGAGEMENT, AND UNETHICAL BEHAVIOUR IN IDEA COMPETITIONS. *International Journal of Innovation Management*, 1850043. doi: 10.1142/S1363919618500433
- Shelby, D. H. (2007). Economic growth: should policy focus on investment or dynamic competition? *European Business Review*, 19(4), 274-291. doi: 10.1108/09555340710760116
- Shelby, D. H. (2012). The evolution of resource advantage theory: Six events, six realizations, six contributions. *Journal of Historical Research in Marketing*, 4(1), 7-29. doi: 10.1108/17557501211195046
- Skvarciany, V., & Iljins, J. (2015). The role of change management in trust formation in commercial banks. *Verslas: teorija ir praktika*, 16(4), 373-378. doi: 10.3846/btp.2015.557
- Song, J., Wang, R., & Cavusgil, S. T. (2015). State ownership and market orientation in China's public firms: An agency theory perspective. *International Business Review*, 24(4), 690-699. doi: 10.1016/j.ibusrev.2014.12.003
- Šontaitė-Petkevičienė, M. (2015). CSR Reasons, Practices and Impact to Corporate Reputation. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 213, 503-508. doi: 10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.11.441
- Taghieh, M. B., Taghieh, S., & Poorzamani, Z. (2013). The effects of relational capital (customer) on the market value and financial performance. *European Online Journal of Natural and Social Sciences*, vol.2, No. 3(s), pp. 207-211.
- Tan, C. S. L., Smyrnios, K. X., & Xiong, L. (2014). What drives learning orientation in fast growth SMEs? *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behavior &*

- Research*, 20(4), 324-350. doi: doi:10.1108/IJEBR-02-2013-0032
- Tang, Z., & Tang, J. (2012). Stakeholder–firm power difference, stakeholders' CSR orientation, and SMEs' environmental performance in China. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 27(4), 436-455. doi: 10.1016/j.jbusvent.2011.11.007
- ter Bogt, H., & Tillema, S. (2016). Accounting for trust and control: Public sector partnerships in the arts. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 37, 5-23. doi: 10.1016/j.cpa.2015.10.002
- Thomas, T. (2015). Agent-based risk management – a regulatory approach to financial markets. *Journal of Economic Studies*, 42(5), 780-820. doi: 10.1108/JES-03-2013-0039
- Torun, H., & Çicekci, C. (2007). INNOVATION: IS THE ENGINE FOR THE ECONOMIC GROWTH? *Research Paper*.
- Vardon, M., Burnett, P., & Dovers, S. (2016). The accounting push and the policy pull: balancing environment and economic decisions. *Ecological Economics*, 124, 145-152. doi: 10.1016/j.ecolecon.2016.01.021
- Wallnöfer, M., & Hacklin, F. (2013). The business model in entrepreneurial marketing: A communication perspective on business angels' opportunity interpretation. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 42(5), 755-764. doi: 10.1016/j.indmarman.2013.05.012
- Wang, C.-H. (2014). How relational capital mediates the effect of corporate reputation on competitive advantage: Evidence from Taiwan high-tech industry. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 82, 167-176. doi: 10.1016/j.techfore.2013.06.009
- Wei, W., Kim, G., Miao, L., Behnke, C., & Almanza, B. (2018). Consumer inferences of corporate social responsibility (CSR) claims on packaged foods. *Journal of Business Research*, 83, 186-201. doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2017.10.046
- Wiersma, E. (2017). How and when do firms translate slack into better performance? *The British Accounting Review*, 49(5), 445-459. doi: 10.1016/j.bar.2017.05.007
- Winkelbach, A., & Walter, A. (2015). Complex technological knowledge and value creation in science-to-industry technology transfer projects: The moderating effect of absorptive capacity. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 47, 98-108. doi: 10.1016/j.indmarman.2015.02.035
- Yan, T., & Wagner, S. M. (2017). Do what and with whom? Value creation and appropriation in inter-organizational new product development projects. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 191, 1-14. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpe.2017.05.010
- Yu, Z., Li, L., Tian, G., & Zhang, H. (2013). Aggressive reporting, investor protection and stock price informativeness: Evidence from Chinese firms. *Journal of International Accounting, Auditing and Taxation*, 22(2), 71-85. doi: 10.1016/j.intaccudtax.2013.07.004
- Zacharias, N. A., Nijssen, E. J., & Stock, R. M. (2016). Effective configurations of value creation and capture capabilities: Extending Treacy and Wiersema's value disciplines. *Journal of Business Research*, 69(10), 4121-4131. doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2016.03.039
- Zaichkowsky, J. L., Parlee, M., & Hill, J. (2010). Managing industrial brand equity: Developing tangible benefits for intangible assets. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 39(5), 776-783. doi: 10.1016/j.indmarman.2010.02.017
- Zhang, W. (1998). A Principal-agent Theory of the Public Economy and Its Applications to China. *Economics of Planning*, 31(2-3), 231-251. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1003564611629

How to cite this article:

Iswandi, Dermawan Syahrial and Wilhelmus Hary Susilo.2020, The Predict of the Stock Return on the Commercial Banks: Insight the Signaling Theory Perfective. *Int J Recent Sci Res*. 11(02), pp. 37639-37646.
DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.24327/ijrsr.2020.1102.5149>
