# HOW ORGANIZATIONAL COMMITMENT CAN INCREASE BEHAVIOR?

# <sup>1</sup>Yosandi Yulius

<sup>1</sup>Universitas Persada Indonesia YAI. Jakarta, yosandi.yulius@upi-yai.ac.id

#### **Abstract**

This study aims to examine the effect of personality and work environment on organizational commitment to the organization. This study uses explanatory quantitative research on 24 contractor companies in DKI Jakarta with 72 respondents who were processed using the SEM-PLS approach. The results of the study indicate that personality directly influences organizational commitment to organizations in contractor companies in DKI Jakarta Province, and the work environment directly influences organizational commitment to organizations in contractor companies in DKI Jakarta Province.

**Keywords**: Personality, Work Environment, Organizational Commitment, behavior.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Employee performance shows the ability of employees to carry out all the tasks that are their responsibility. The success of an organization is very dependent on the good and bad performance of the organization. The performance of an organization depends on the performance of employees where each employee is a driver for the running of a company or institution. Good performance from employees will have a direct impact on the success and failure of a company agency.

Organizations that are successful in achieving their goals and being able to fulfill their responsibilities are highly dependent on leaders. If the leader is able to carry out his responsibilities well, it is very likely that an organization will achieve its goals. An organization needs an effective leader, who has the ability to influence the behavior of its employees. In addition, employees must also have motivation and a high level of discipline in achieving success and the desire to achieve optimal results. In accordance with the theory mentioned by Kasmir (2016) there are several

factors that influence employee performance, namely abilities and expertise, knowledge, work design, personality, work motivation, leadership, leadership style, organizational culture, job satisfaction, work environment, loyalty, commitment, and work discipline.

Performance appraisal is basically a key factor in developing an organization effectively and efficiently, due to better policies or programs for human resources in the organization. Individual performance appraisal is very useful for the dynamics of the growth of the organization as a whole, through this assessment it can be seen the actual condition of how the employee's performance is. One measure of increased performance is based on the successful implementation of visionary leadership, personality, work environment and organizational commitment.

Thus, the direction of the research is to identify and examine the factors that influence employee performance in road contractor companies in DKI Jakarta, so that they can provide input for management in formulating appropriate human resource strategies to

improve employee performance as a basis for competitive advantage.

Then, another factor that affects employee performance is personality, as revealed by research by Athota, et al (2019) which states in their research that personality affects employee performance. Supported by research by Awadh, et al (2012) that personality affects employee performance. Then, Baker, et al (2012) stated that personality affects employee performance. Further research by Imam, et al (2013) explains that personality affects employee performance. Supported by Laura and Surnaryo (2020) that personality affects employee performance. Likewise, Shi, et al (2011) and Tracey, et al (2007) reveal that personality affects employee performance.

Furthermore, the factor that affects employee performance is the work environment, which is supported by research by Amjad, et al (2015) explaining that a comfortable and conducive work environment has a positive significant effect on employee performance. Ukah, et al (2021) stated that a comfortable and conducive work environment has a positive and significant effect on employee performance. Furthermore, research by Munira et al (2013) suggests that a comfortable and conducive environment has a positive work significant impact on employee performance. Then, Pradhan and Jena's research (2017) states that a comfortable and conducive work environment has a positive and significant effect on employee performance. Supported by research by Ramli (2017) that a comfortable and conducive work environment has a positive significant effect employee and on performance. Likewise, research by Riaz, et al. (2017) explains that a comfortable and conducive work environment has a positive and significant effect on employee performance. Samarayake and De Silva (2010) in their research state that a comfortable and conducive work environment has a positive significant impact on employee performance. Also, research by Zafar, et al (2017) says that a comfortable and conducive work environment has a positive and significant effect on employee performance.

## Literature Review

# Personality

Personality is a personal characteristic that causes consistent feelings, thoughts, behavior to emerge (Sjarkawi, 2008). Then, Cervone and Pervin (2012) explain that a person's personality is shaped by the influence of expected behavior as determined by their respective communities and at work, according to the nature of their work. Furthermore, Spector (2011:347) says that personality has the potential to influence the process of counterproductive work behavior at every step. It can affect people's perceptions and judgments of the environment, their attributions to the causes of events, their emotional responses, and their ability to inhibit aggressive and counterproductive impulses. Also, Robbins (2001) states that a person's personality can be seen from how a person reacts and interacts with someone, the personality created by heredity, environment and situation.

Based on the explanation above, it can be concluded that personality is a person's characteristic that is seen from how he responds to something and interacts with something in front of someone, where this personality is created from their respective environmental backgrounds.

## Work environment

Udenga (2012) said that the work environment can be defined as an environment in which people work which includes physical settings, job profiles, culture and market conditions. Then, Briner (2000) suggests that the workplace environment can be considered simply as an environment in which people work as such; it is a very broad category that includes the physical setting (e.g. heat, equipment), characteristics of the job itself (e.g. workload, task complexity). Furthermore, Olukkaran and Gunaseelan (2012) explain that the work environment can be identified as a place where a person works, which means the environment around a person. It is a social and professional environment in which one is supposed to interact with a number of people.

Based on the explanation above, it can be concluded that the Work Environment is the workplace environment can be considered only as an environment in which people work including the physical setting, job profile, culture and market conditions.

## **Organizational Commitment**

Syarif and Herlina (2019) stated that organizational commitment. reflects the strength of employees in identifying selforganizational involvement into characterized by acceptance of the values and goals of the organization, readiness and willingness to fight earnestly on behalf of the organization, and the desire to maintain membership. in the organization. Then, Darmawan (2013:171) explains that Organizational Commitment is the desire of employees to maintain membership in the organization and are willing to do business for the achievement of organizational goals. McDonald and Makin (2008:84-91) state that organizational commitment is a psychological agreement signed between people organizations. In conclusion, organizational commitment is related to the strong desire of employees in the form of active involvement and participation in the organization, cognitive and affective. Likewise, Kreitner and Kinicji organizational (2014:165) reveal that commitment is the extent to which a person knows the company and its goals. Supported by Newstorm (2007:207) that Organizational Commitment is the level / degree of selfidentification of employees organization and their desire to continue their active participation in the organization. And, Luthan (2008:142) explains that Organizational Commitment is a strong desire to remain a member of the organization; the desire to demonstrate a high level of business on behalf of the organization; and a strong belief in accepting the values and goals of the organization.

Based on the explanation above, it can be concluded that Organizational Commitment is the extent to which employees believe in the organization by involving themselves emotionally and psychologically with a view to assisting the organization in achieving its goals.

#### Theoretical Framework

Abdullah, et al (2013) suggested personality has a positive and significant effect organizational commitment organization. Supported by research by Arifin, et al (2019) that a comfortable and conducive work environment can increase organizational commitment to the organization. Then, research by Zudek, et al (1991) suggests that a comfortable and conducive work environment can increase organizational commitment to the organization. Furthermore, Erdheim, et al (2006) stated that a comfortable and conducive work environment can increase organizational commitment to the organization. Farrukh, et al (2017) explain that a comfortable and conducive work environment can increase organizational commitment to the organization. Furthermore, research by Gelade, et al (2017) revealed that a comfortable and conducive work environment can increase organizational commitment to the organization. Likewise, research by Guay, et al (2015) that a comfortable and conducive work environment can increase organizational commitment to the organization. Also, research by Indarti, et al (2017) which explains that a comfortable and conducive work environment can increase organizational commitment to the organization.

Research by Laschinger and Wong (2006) explains that a comfortable and conducive work environment can increase organizational commitment to the organization. Then, research by Djuwita, et al (2018) states that a comfortable and conducive work environment can increase organizational commitment to the organization. Rollad, et al (2015) suggest that a comfortable and conducive work environment can increase organizational commitment to the organization. Furthermore, Kazemipour, et al (2012) revealed that a comfortable and conducive work environment can increase organizational commitment to the organization. Likewise, Funminiyi (2015) explains that a comfortable and conducive work environment

can increase organizational commitment to the organization. Supported by research by Laschinger, et al (2015) that a comfortable and conducive work environment can increase organizational commitment to the organization. The same thing was expressed by Mousa and Alas (2016) that a comfortable and conducive work environment can increase organizational commitment to the organization. Also, research by Vandenberghe (2011) that a comfortable and conducive work environment can increase organizational commitment to the organization.

# Research Methodology

This study uses a quantitative method with an explanatory approach. The population in this study were employees of a contractor company in the DKI Jakarta Province. The number of samples is 72 respondents from 24 contractor

companies in DKI Jakarta Province, where the sample is obtained based on the rule of thumb from the statistical analysis used. The data collection technique in this study was a questionnaire using a Likert scale. Data were analyzed using Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) with Partial Least Square (PLS) approach. The hypothesis in this study is:

Table 1. Research Hypotesis

No.	Hypothesis
H1	Personality has a direct influence on Organizational Commitment
Н2	Work Environment has a direct influence on Organizational Commitment

#### **Result and Discussion**

Validity and Reliability

Table 2. Outer Model Evaluation

Variables	Sub-Variables	Cross Loading	Composite Reliability (CR)	Average Variance Extracted (AVE)	Critical Value
Personality	Extroversion	0.805	0.885	0.793	1.0000
	Awareness	0.790	0.923	0.858	1.0000
	Neuroticism	0.783	0.933	0.765	1.0000
	Friendliness	0.713	0.944	0.899	1.0000
	Openness	0.843	0.754	0.812	1.0000
Work	Furniture	0.682	0.943	0.891	1.0000
Enviroment	Air Temperature	0.770	0.831	0.710	1.0000
	Lighting	0.745	0.866	0.763	1.0000
	Noise	0.693	0.785	0.646	1.0000
Organizational Commitment	Affective Commitment	0.797	0.885	0.793	1.0000
	Continuous Commitment	0.842	0.828	0.706	1.0000
	Normative Commitment	0.796	0.930	0.869	1.0000

From table 2 above, it can be seen that the data that has been processed using the Wrap-PLS application indicates convergent validity in the study, showing that each variable has a loading factor value above 0.5 which means that the questionnaire instrument used in this study is valid and represents the condition of the personality, environmental variables, work and organizational commitment. Then, from the table above, it can be seen that the AVE value is greater than 0.5, which means that this research questionnaire has met discriminant

validity. In addition, the variables from the table above have met the composite reliability, where the value is more than 0.70, which means that the research is feasible to continue in the hypothesis testing process

**Hypotheses Testing** 

Table 3.	Direct	<b>Impact</b>
----------	--------	---------------

Hypothesis	Impact	Path Coefficients	t-test	Result
H1	Personality ->> Organizational Commitment	0.528	8.119	Significant
Н2	Work Environment ->> Organizational Commitment	0.714	9.136	Significant

Referring to table 3 above, it can be seen that the t-test value in hypothesis 1 is greater than t-table 1.99, which means that there is a significant influence between personality and organizational commitment. And the results of the t-test on hypothesis 2 are also greater than t-table 1.99, which means that there is a significant influence between the work environment and organizational commitment.

#### Discussion

H1: The Influence of Personality on Organizational Commitment

Personality has a direct influence on organizational commitment, which means that employees who have a strong commitment to the organization are influenced by the personality of the employee. This study supports the results of research by Abdullah, et al (2013), Arifin, et al (2019), Erdheim, et al (2006), Zudek, et al (1991), Farrukh, et al (2017), et al (2017), Guay, et al (2015) and Indarti, et al (2017) that a comfortable and conducive work environment can increase organizational commitment to the organization.

H2: Effect of Work Environment on Organizational Commitment

From the results of the calculations and hypothesis testing above, it can be seen that the work environment has a direct effect on organizational commitment in this study, which means that employees can increase their commitment to the organization, if an adequate and comfortable work environment is facilitated. This study supports the results of research by Laschinger and Wong (2006), Djuwita, et al (2018), Rollad, et al (2015), Kazemipour, et al (2012), Funminiyi (2015), Laschinger, et al (2015), Mousa and Alas

(2016), and Vandenberghe (2011) explain that a comfortable and conducive work environment can increase organizational commitment to the organization.

#### **Conclusions**

The results showed that the ups and downs of organizational commitment to the organization were influenced by the personality of the employee and an adequate work environment. Based on result study, it can be seen that personality has a significant effect on organizational commitment, meaning that the better the personality possessed by employees, the higher the organizational commitment. Also, the work environment has a significant effect on organizational commitment, which means that the more adequate the work environment, the higher the commitment of employees.

## **Suggestions and Recommendations**

The limitation of this research is that the research only focuses on personality and work environment as factors that influence organizational commitment, in fact the factors that influence organizational commitment are not only personality and work environment, but also work motivation, competence, empowerment, and so on.

## Reference

[1] Athota, vidya S., pawan Budhwar, dan ashish Malik. 2019. Influence of personality traits and moral values on employee well -being , resilience and

performance: A cross -national study. doi: 10.1111/apps.12198

- [2] Awadh, Alharbi Mohammad, & Wan Khairuzzaman Wan Ismail. 2012. The Impact of Personality Traits and Employee Work-Related Attitudes on Employee Performance with the Moderating Effect of Organizational Culture: The Case of Saudi Arabia. Asian Journal of Business and Management Sciences ISSN: 2047-2528 Vol. 1 No. 10 [108-127)
- [3] Bakker, Arnold B, Maria Tims, dan Daantje Derks. 2012. Proactive personality and job performance: The role of job crafting and work engagement. Human Relations 2012 65: 1359 originally published online 11 September 2012. DOI: 10.1177/0018726712453471
- [4] Imam, Awais., Dr. Abdus Sattar Abbasi, dan Dr. Saima Muneer. 2013. The Impact Of Islamic Work Ethics On Employee Performance: Testing Two Models Of Personality X And Personality Y. Sci.Int(Lahore),25(3),611-617,2013. ISSN 1013-5316; CODEN: SINTE 8
- [5] Sahertiana, Olivia Laura, dan Margono Setiawan Sunaryo. 2020. Culture and employee performance: The mediating role of personality and commitment. Management Science Letters 10 (2020) 1567–1574. doi: 10.5267/j.msl.2019.12.010
- [6] Shi, Junqi, Zhou Chen dan Le Zho. 2011. Testing Differential Mediation Effects of Sub-dimensions of Political Skills in Linking Proactive Personality to Employee Performance. J Bus Psychol (2011) 26:359–369. DOI 10.1007/s10869-010-9195-0. Springer
- [7] Tracey, J. Bruce, Michael C. Sturman, Dan Michael J. Tews. 2007. Ability versus Personality Factors that Predict Employee Job Performance. 2007 CORNELL UNIVERSITY DOI: 10.1177/0010880407302048 Volume 48, Issue 3 313-322
- [8] Amjad, Zahra, Pirzada Sami Ullah Sabri, Muhammad Ilyas, dan Afshaan Hameed. 2015. Informal Relationships at Workplace and Employee Performance: A Study of Employees Private Higher Education Sector. Journal of Commerce and Social Sciences (PJCSS), ISSN 2309-8619, Johar Education Society, Pakistan (JESPK), Lahore, Vol. 9, Iss. 1, pp. 303-

- 321 This Version is available at: http://hdl.handle.net/10419/188198 2015
- [9] Ukah, Timothy Andah Atah, dan Cletus Akpo. 2021. Workplace Variables and Business Educators' Job Performance in Tertiary Institutions in Cross River State, Nigeria. Journal of Business and African Economy Vol. 7 No. 1 2021 E-ISSN 2545-5281 P-ISSN 2695-2238 www.iiardpub.or
- [10] Munira, Nina, Naharuddin, dan Mohammad Sadegi. 2013. Factors of Workplace Environment that Affect Employees Performance: A Case Study of Miyazu Malaysia. International Journal of Independent Research and Studies IJIRS ISSN: 2226-4817; EISSN: 2304-6953 Vol. 2, No.2 (April, 2013) 66-78 Indexing and Abstracting: Ulrich's Global Serials Directory
- [11] Pradhan, Rabindra Kumar, dan Lalatendu Kesari Jena. 2017. Employee Performance at Workplace: Conceptual Model and Empirical Validation. 2017 K.J. Somaiya Institute of Management Studies and Research SAGE Publications sagepub.in/home.nav DOI: 10.1177/2278533716671630 http://bpr.sagepub.com
- [12] Ramli, Abdul Haeba. 2017. Organizational Commitment And Employee Performance At Distributor Companies. Business and Entrepreneurial Review Vol.17, No.2, October 2017 E-ISSN: 2252-4614
- [13] Samaranayake, S.U De Silva. 2010. Effect Of Green Workplace Environment On Employee Performance
- [14] Zafar, Marium, Emadul Karim, dan Omair Abbas. 2017. Factors of Workplace Environment that Affects Employee Performance in an Organization": A study on Greenwich University of Karachi. Online at https://mpra.ub.unimuenchen.de/78822/ MPRA Paper No. 78822, posted 28 Apr 2017 13:43 UTC
- [15] Sjarkawi. 2008. Pembentukan kepribadian anak: peran moral, intelektual, emosional, dan sosial sebagai wujud integritas membangun jati diri. Jakarta: Bumi Aksara
- [16] Cervone, D., & Pervin, L.A. 2012. Teori dan Penelitian. Jakarta: Salemba Humanika
- [17] Spector, P. E. 2011. The relationship of personality to counterproductive work behavior (CWB): An integration of

- perspectives. Human Resource Management Review, 21, 342–352
- [18] Kreitner, R., & Kinicki, A. 2014. Organizational behavioural (Fifth Edition). Boston: McGraw-Hill
- [19] Robbins, Stephen J., & Judge, Timothy. 2013. Organizational Behaviour (Edition 15). New Jersey: Pearson Education
- [20] Udenga. 2012. Mediating role of intrinsic motivation on the relationship between developmental feedback and employee job performance. Business Reviews, 2(1), 9
- [21] Briner. 2002. Emotional intelligence, worker attitude, and job involvement. International Journal of Business Systems, 9(1), 17-21
- [22] Olukkaran, Bindu Anto., & Gunaseelan, Rupa. 2012. A Study on the Impact of Work Environment on Employee Performance Namex International Journal of Management Research 71 Vol. 2, Issue No. 2, July – December 2012
- [23] Riaz, Amna., Shoaib, Umar., & Sarfraz, Muhammad Shahzad. 2017. Workplace Design and Employee's Performance and Health in Software Industry of Pakistan. (IJACSA) International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications, Vol. 8, No. 5, 2017
- [24] Syarif, Suryadi., & Herlina, Lina. 2019. Visionary Leadership And The Self Efficacy With Organizational Commitment. Jurnal Kepemimpinan Pendidikan. 2019, Vol. 2(2) 308-327. © Author, 2019. P-Issn 2086-2881. E-Issn 2598-621x
- [25] Darmawan, Didit. 2013. Prinsip-prinsip Perilaku Organisasi, Surabaya: Pena Semesta
- [26] McDonald dan Makin, 2008. "The psychological contract, organizational commitment and job satisfaction of temporary staff." Leadership & Organizational Development Journal, 21: 84-91
- [27] NewStorm. 2007. Human Behavior At Work.Organization Behavior 8th Edition, Singapore, Mc. Graw-Hill. International
- [28] Luthans, Fred. 2008. Organizational Behavior: An Evidence-Based Approach. New York: McGraw-Hill
- [29] Abdullah, Iqra., Rozeyta Omar, dan Yahya Rashid. 2013. Effect of Personality on Organizational Commitment and Employees' Performance: Empirical

- Evidence from Banking Sector of Pakistan. Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research 18 (6): 759-766, 2013 ISSN 1990-9233 © IDOSI Publications, 2013 DOI: 10.5829/idosi.mejsr.2013.18.6.1685
- [30] Arifin, A Hadi., Jumadil Saputra, Anwar Puteh, dan Ibrahim Qamarius. 2019. The Role of Organizational Culture in the Relationship of Personality and Organization Commitment on Employee Performance
- [31] Dudek, Stephanie Z., René Bernèche, Huguette Bérubé, dan Sylvie Royer. 1991. Personality Determinants of the Commitment to the Profession of Art. Stephanie Z. Dudek, René Bernèche, Huguette Bérubé & Sylvie Royer (1991) Personality determinants of the commitment to the profession of art, Creativity Research Journal, 4:4, 367-389, DOI: 10.1080/10400419109534412
- [32] Erdheim, Jesse., Mo. Wang, dan Michael J. Zickar. 2006. Linking the Big Five personality constructs to organizational commitment. 0191-8869/\$ see front matter 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.paid.2006.04.005
- [33] Farrukh, Muhammad, Chong Wei Ying, dan Shaheen Mansori. 2017. Organizational commitment: an empirical analysis of personality traits. To cite this document: Muhammad Farrukh, Chong Wei Ying, Shaheen Mansori, (2017) "Organizational commitment: an empirical analysis of personality traits", Journal of Work-Applied Management, Vol. 9 Issue: 1, pp.18-34, https://doi.org/10.1108/JWAM-12-2016-0026
- [34] Guay, Russell P., Daejeong Choi, In-Sue Oh, Marie S. Mitchell, Michael K. Mount, dan Kang-Hyun Shin. 2015. Why people harm the organization and its members: Relationships among personality, organizational commitment, and workplace deviance. Human Performance, 29:1, 1-15 To link to this article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/08959285.2015. 1120305
- [35] Laschinger, Heather., & Carol Anne Wong. 2006. Workplace Empowerment, Work Engagement and Organizational Commitment of New Graduate Nurses. Article in Nursing leadership (Toronto, Ont.) · October 2006 DOI:

- 10.12927/cjnl.2006.18368 · Source: PubMed
- [36] Djuwita, Ratna., Roebiandini Soemantri, dan Gia Kardina Prima. 2018. The Influence of Organizational Commitment, Implementation of Accounting Information System and Workplace. Journal of Accounting Auditing and Business Vol.1, No.1, 2018 DOI: 10.24198/jaab.v1i1.15657
- [37] E, Fanggida., Rolland E, Yuyus Suryana, Nuri Efendi, dan Hilmiana. 2015. Effect of a Spiritually Workplace on Organizational Commitmen and Job Satisfaction (Study on the lecturer of private universities in the Kupang city Indonesia). 1877-0428 © 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under BY-NC-ND CC (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/bync-nd/4.0/). Peer-review under of responsibility the Organizing Committee of the 3rd GCBSS-2015 doi: 10.1016/j.sbspro.2016.05.045
- [38] Kazemipour, Farahnaz., BS, Salmiah Mohamad Amin, Bahram Pourseidi. 2012. Relationship Between Workplace Spirituality and Organizational Citizenship Behavior Among Nurses Through Mediation of Affective Organizational Commitment. doi: 10.1111/j.1547-5069.2012.01456.x
- [39] Mousa, Mohamed dan Ruth Alas. 2018. Workplace spirituality and organizational commitment: A study on the public schools teachers in Menoufia (Egypt). Vol. 10(10), pp. 247-255, 28 May, 2016 DOI: 10.5897/AJBM2016.8031 Article Number: 62D9E5758470 ISSN 1993-8233 Copyright © 2016 Author(s) retain the copyright of this article http://www.academicjournals.org/AJBM
- [40] Vandenberghe , Christian. 2011. Workplace spirituality and organizational commitment: an integrative model. Christian Vandenberghe (2011): Workplace spirituality and organizational commitment: an integrative model, Journal of Management, Spirituality & Religion, 8:3, 211-232. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/14766086.2011. 599146