

Hasil Turnitin

by 1 1

Submission date: 23-Feb-2023 12:27AM (UTC-0800)

Submission ID: 2021134531

File name: EASJEBM_510_277-281_3_JURNAL_NOV_2022.pdf (505.48K)

Word count: 2543

Character count: 13085

Comparative Analysis of Bank Performance during COVID 19 Pandemic Period between Year 2020 and Year 2021 in Indonesia (Case Study: PT Bank Rakyat Indonesia (Persero) Tbk)

Sri Kurniawati^{1*}, Yudi Yulius¹, Ruwaida¹

¹Universitas Persada Indonesia YAI, Jakarta, Indonesia

Article History

Received: 21.09.2022

Accepted: 26.10.2022

Published: 02.11.2022

Journal homepage:

<https://www.easpublisher.com>

Quick Response Code



Abstract: The aim of this study is to analyse whether there is a difference in bank performance of PT Bank Rakyat Indonesia (Persero) Tbk (BRI) between 2020 and 2021 during covid-19 pandemic period in Indonesia. The method used analysis of average difference t-test (paired sample t-test). The result shows that there is no significant difference of comparative analysis of PT Bank Rakyat Indonesia (Persero) Tbk performance during covid 19 between year 2020 and year 2021.

Keywords: Bank Performance and Covid 19 period.

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use provided the original author and source are credited.

1. INTRODUCTION

BRI won an award as the Best MSME Supporting Bank from Bank Indonesia (BI) this year. In addition, Asia Money also named BRI as the Best Bank for Diversity and Inclusion 2022 because the presence of BRI is considered to have a major impact on increasing financial inclusion in Indonesia. BRI EXPO(RT) BRILIANPRENEUR as one of BRI's initiatives to make MSMEs go global was also awarded in the Omni Brand of The Year. This was awarded by Marketeers Magazine in 2022. This year, BRI was also named the largest public company in Indonesia in the Forbes 2022 Global 2000 ranking of the World's Largest Public Companies in Indonesia (Bank Rakyat Indonesia, 2022).

In the midst of the Covid-19 pandemic, PT Bank Rakyat Indonesia (Persero) Tbk. or BBRI recorded credit growth of 13 percent (year-to-date/ytd) per quarter III/2021. Loans disbursed increased from IDR 899.46 trillion as of December 31, 2020 to IDR 1,017 trillion as of September 30, 2021 (Anggraeni R, 2022).

1
Based on the above, the author is interested in analysing the performance of BRI bank during covid 19 between 2020 and 2021 in Indonesia. The aim of this study is to analyse whether there is a difference

1
between 2020 and 2021 during the Covid-19 pandemic period in Indonesia,

BNIS is experiencing a "Not Bankrupt" condition, the level of bank efficiency is "High" and at analysis of profitability as reflected by the ROA ratio, ROE during the pandemic has decreased (Ihsan & Hosen, 2021). The soundness level of the bank from 2013 to 2015 from the aspect of risk profile is classified as very healthy, Good Corporate Governance is quite healthy, Earnings is very healthy, and Capital is very healthy (Riadi KS, Atmadja AT, & Wahyuni MA, 2016). The state can improve and maintain the soundness level of the Bank (Dewi and Candradewi, 2018). The health level of BRI Bank is very healthy (Dewi M 2018) There are the significant differences in the assessment of financial performance on the Bank DKI (Helsinawati, Widyanto ML & Viciwati, 2018).. The performance ratio of Mandiri banks in 2018 and the performance ratio of Mandiri banks in 2017 is not significant difference between the Bank Mandiri Performance Ratio in 2018 and the Bank Mandiri Performance Ratio in 2017. (Faizal & Viciwati, 2019)

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

1
Banks are financial institutions or financial institutions that create money, implement payment traffic, collect funds and channel credit, monetary

*Corresponding Author: Sri Kurniawati
Universitas Persada Indonesia YAI, Jakarta, Indonesia

stabilizers and dynamics of economic growth (Hasibuan, 2019). The corona virus (Covid 19), which originally coming from China, which finally spread to all countries in early 2020, has put pressure on the world economy, including the Indonesian economy (Seto, 2021).

Analysis of average difference t-test (Paired Sample t-test) . The data analysis method used in this study is the paired sample t-test, which is a data analysis method that aims to test whether there is a difference in the mean for two paired samples. As for what is meant by pairs, the data in the second sample is a change or difference from the data in the first sample. This test is carried out to see whether there is a difference in financial performance in 2021 and 2020 with a significance number greater than alpha 0.05 or (sig 2-tailed) > 0.05. Hypothesis: A hypothesis is a provisional conjecture or a temporary answer and the truth still has to be proven (Sugiyono, 2018). The hypothesis in this study is as follows $H_0 : \mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0$, meaning that there is no difference in financial performance , while H_a means that there is a difference in financial performance using Statistics Test : t test (Paired Sample t Test) Test Criteria: If sig > 0.05 then H_0 is accepted If sig < 0.05 then H_0 is rejected.

Hipotesis: there are difference in the performance of BRI banks during the covid 19 pandemic in Indonesia between year 2020 and year 2021.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Profile of BRI

BRI was established on 16 December 1895 in Purwokerto, Central Java by Raden Aria Wiriatmaja as De Poerwokertosche Hulp en Spaarbank Der Inladsche Hoofden. Initially started as a savings and loan cooperative for mosques, the simple bank was then incorporated as a bona fide bank in 1968. Today, BRI is one of the largest banks in Indonesia and Southeast Asia that focuses on Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises (MSME) and a pioneer of microfinance in Indonesia. Since its IPO in 2003, BRI has consistently posted profit and paid dividends to shareholders. As of June 2022, BRI's services are supported by 569,761 BRILink agents, 199,775 EDC units, 14,417 ATMs, and 7,407 CRMs. (BRI, ir.bri.com).

3.2 BRI Performance

The following figure is the performance data of PT Bank Rakyat Indonesia Tbk (Persero) during the covid 19 period in Indonesia between 2020 and 2021.

Table 1: BRI Performance

Bank Performance of PT Bank Rakyat Indonesia Tbk (Persero)		
Bank Performance	December 2021	December 2020
1. Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR)	25,28	20,61
2. Non-performing productive assets a	1,77	1,82
3. Non-performing productive assets to	1,77	1,81
4. Allowance for impairment losses (CK	6,16	5,42
5. Non Performance Loan gross	3,08	2,94
6. Non Performance Loan Net	0,7	0,8
7. Return on Asset (ROA)	2,72	1,98
8. Return on Equity (ROE)	16,87	11,05
9. Net Interest Margin (NIM)	6,89	6
10. Operating Cost To Operating Incom	74,3	81,22
11. Cost to Income Ratio (CIR)	43,26	45,4
12. Loan to Deposit Ratio (LDR)	83,67	83,66

Source: Otoritas Jasa Keuangan (2021, 2022)

The data above illustrates the performance of BRI bank with 12 financial ratios in 2021 and 2020 as follows:

1. Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR) +4.67
2. Non-performing productive assets and non-productive assets to total productive assets and non-productive assets -0.05
3. Non-performing productive assets to total productive assets -0.04
4. Allowance for impairment losses (CKPN) of financial assets against earning assets + 0.74
5. Non-Performance Loan gross +0.14

6. Non Performance Loan Net -0.1
7. Return on Assets (ROA) +0.74
8. Return on Equity (ROE) +5.82
9. Net Interest Margin (NIM) 0.89
10. Operating Cost To Operating Income (BOPO) -6.92
11. Cost to Income Ratio (CIR) -2.14
12. Loan to Deposit Ratio (LDR) +0.01

3.2. Result

Herewith the result of Statistical Test as follows:

Table 2: Data Validity

Case Processing Summary							
	Year	Cases					
		Valid		Missing		Total	
		N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Ratio	2021	12	100.0%	0	0.0%	12	100.0%
	2020	12	100.0%	0	0.0%	12	100.0%

The table above shows that the data is 100% valid in year 2021 and year 2020.

Table 3

Descriptives									
	Year				Statistic	Std. Error			
Ratio	2021	Mean				22.2058	8.48713		
		95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound				3.5258		
			Upper Bound				40.8859		
		5% Trimmed Mean				19.9859			
		Median				6.5250			
		Variance				864.376			
		Std. Deviation				29.40027			
		Minimum				.70			
		Maximum				83.67			
		Range				82.97			
		Interquartile Range				36.76			
		Skewness				1.432	.637		
		Kurtosis				.774	1.232		
		2020	2020	Mean				21.8925	8.93474
				95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound				2.2273
Upper Bound					41.5577				
5% Trimmed Mean				19.6328					
Median				5.7100					
Variance				957.955					
Std. Deviation				30.95085					
Minimum				.80					
Maximum				83.66					
Range				82.86					
Interquartile Range				37.34					
Skewness				1.499	.637				
Kurtosis				.811	1.232				

The mean of 2020 is 21.8925 % and mean of 2021 is 22.2058%, while standard error 2020 is 8.93474 % and 2021 is 8.48713%.

Table 4

Tests of Normality							
	Year	Kolmogorov-Smirnov ^a			Shapiro-Wilk		
		Statistic	df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.
Ratio	2021	.282	12	.009	.745	12	.002
	2020	.304	12	.003	.697	12	.001

a. Lilliefors Significance Correction

The normality test result of 2021 and 2020 shows that data is normaly distribution, then the analysis can used paired sample t test.

Table 5: Paired Sample Statistic

Paired Samples Statistics					
		Mean	N	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Pair 1	Ratio FY 2021	22.2058	12	29.40027	8.48713
	Ratio FY 2020	21.8925	12	30.95085	8.93474

The Ratio from 12 sample shows standard deviation of year 2021 = 29.40027% and year 2020 = 30.95085%.

Table 6: Paired samples Correlations

Paired Samples Correlations				
		N	Correlation	Sig.
Pair 1	Ratio FY 2021 & Ratio FY 2020	12	.996	.000

The correlation of 12 ratios in 2021 and 2020 is 99,6%

Table 7: Paired Samples Test

Paired Samples Test									
		Paired Differences							
		Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference		t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)
					Lower	Upper			
Pair 1	Ratio FY 2021 - Ratio FY 2020	.31333	3.14629	.90825	-1.68572	2.31239	.345	11	.737

The result of paired sample test of sig (2-tailed) is 0.737. This shows no difference of BRI performance during covid 19 in Indonesia between year 2021 and year 2020.

3.3. DISCUSSION

The results shows no different performance of PT Bank Rakyat Indonesia (Persero) Tbk during covid 19 in Indonesia between 2021 and 2020. The results are in line with research by Widyanto (2019) and Rosdiana (2019).

The statistical results is no difference because no significant difference ratios but not fixed of : 1) Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR) is increasing of 4.67%; 2). Non-performing productive assets and non-productive assets to total productive assets and non-productive assets is decreasing of -0.05%; 3) Non-performing productive assets to total productive assets is decreasing of -0.04%; 4). Allowance for impairment losses (CKPN) of financial assets against earning assets is increasing of 0.74%; 5). Non-Performance Loan gross is increasing of 0.14%; 6) Non Performance Loan Net is decreasing of -0.1%; 7). Return on Assets (ROA) is increasing of 0.74%; 8). Return on Equity (ROE) is increasing of 5.82%; 9). Net Interest Margin (NIM) is increasing of 0.89%; 10) Operating Cost To Operating Income (BOPO) is decreasing of -6.92%; 11) Cost to Income Ratio (CIR) is decreasing of -2.14%; 12) Loan to Deposit Ratio (LDR) is increasing of 0.01%

4. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

4.1. CONCLUSION

Based on discussion of comparative analysis of PT Bank Rakyat Indonesia (Persero) Tbk performance during covid 19 between 2020 and 2021, the results are no significant difference ratios but not fixed of: 1) Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR) is increasing of 4.67%, 2). Non-performing productive assets and non-productive assets to total productive assets and non-productive assets is decreasing of -0.05%, 3) Non-

performing productive assets to total productive assets is decreasing of -0.04%, 4). Allowance for impairment losses (CKPN) of financial assets against earning assets is increasing of 0.74%, 5). Non-Performance Loan gross is increasing of 0.14% 6) Non-Performance Loan Net is decreasing of -0.1%, 7). Return on Assets (ROA) is increasing of 0.74% 8). Return on Equity (ROE) is increasing of 5.82%, 9). Net Interest Margin (NIM) is increasing of 0.89%, 10. Operating Cost To Operating Income (BOPO) is decreasing of -6.92%, 11). Cost to Income Ratio (CIR) is decreasing of -2.14%, 12) Loan to Deposit Ratio (LDR) is increasing of 0.01%

4.2. RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the conclusion that there are no significant difference, BRI is expected to be able to increase performance ratio of : 1). Non-performing productive assets and non-productive assets to total productive assets and non-productive assets, 2). Non-performing productive assets to total productive assets, 3) Non-Performance Loan gross, 4). Operating Cost To Operating Income (BOPO), and 5) Loan to Deposit Ratio (LDR)

REFERENCE

- Bank Rakyat Indonesia. (2022). Raih Berbagai Pencapaian Bukti Kapabilitas BRI Menaiklaskan UMKM, BRI.co.id, Jum'at, 9 September 2022.
- Anggraeni R. (2022). Di Tengah Pandemi Covid-19, Ini Catatan Kinerja BRI (BBRI), m.bisnis.com
- Ihsan, D. N., & Hosen, M. N. (2021). Kinerja Bank BNI Syariah Di Masa Pandemi Covid-19, *Jurnal Ilmiah Ekonomi Islam*, 7(2).
- Riadi, K. S., Atmadja, A. T., SE, A., & Wahyuni, M. A. (2016). Penilaian tingkat kesehatan bank dengan menggunakan metode RGEC (Risk Profile, Good Corporate Governance, Earnings, dan Capital) pada PT. Bank Mandiri (Persero), Tbk periode 2013-2015. *JIMAT (Jurnal Ilmiah Mahasiswa Akuntansi) Undiksha*, 6(3).

- Dewi, I. A. S. K., & Candradewi, M. R. (2018). Penilaian Tingkat Kesehatan Bank Metode Rgec pada PT. Bank Tabungan Negara (Persero),Tbk. Periode 2014-2016. *RGEC E-Jurnal Manajemen Unud*, 7(3), 1595-1622.
- Dewi, M. (2018). Analisis Tingkat Kesehatan Bank Dengan Menggunakan Pendekatan RGRC (Risk Profile, Good Corporate Governance, Earning Capital) (Studi Kasus Pada PT Bank Rakyat Indonesia Tbk Periode 2013-2017), *Niagawan*, 7(3), 125-1 13.
- Helsinawati, Widyanto, M. L., & Viciwati. (2018). Comparative Analysis of Bank DKI's Financial Performance for the Period of 2016 and 2017, *Scholars Bulletin, Middle East Publishers*, 4(6), 508-517
- Faizal, H., & Viciwati. 2019. Comparative Analysis of Bank Performance of PT Bank Mandiri (Persero) Tbk between 2017 and 2018, *East African Scholars Journal of Economics, Business and Management*, 2(8), 428-432.
- Hasibuan, M. S. P. (2019). Dasar-Dasar Perbankan. Jakarta: PT Bumi Aksara Santoso.
- Seto A. A. (2021). Dampak Pandemi Covid 19 Terhadap Kinerja Keuangan Sektor Perbankan di Indonesia, *Jurnal Ekonomi dan Bisnis*, 8(2), 144-154.
- Bank Rakyat Indonesia, Profil BRI, ir.bri.co.id
- Otoritas Jasa Keuangan. (2021). Laporan Perbankan PT Bank Rakyat Indonesia (Persero) Tbk Tahun 2020.
- Otoritas Jasa Keuangan. (2022). Laporan Perbankan Pt Bank Rakyat Indonesia (Persero), Tbk Tahun 2021.
- Widyanto, M. L. (2019). Comparative Analysis Implementation of Circular Letters Financial Services Authority Number 14 / Seojk.03 / 2017 Concerning Assessment of The Soundness Level of Regional Development Banks (Case Study at Bank DKI and BPD Central Java), *Saudi Journal of Business and Management Studies*, 4(4), 297-305.
- Rosdiana, R. (2019). Comparative Analysis Before And After Implementation Of Circular Letters Financial Services Authority Number 14 / SEOJK.03 / 2017 Concerning Assessment Of The Soundness Level Bank At PT. Mega Bank. Tbk, *Saudi Journal of Business and Management Studies*, 4(7), 596-601.

Cite This Article: Sri Kumiawati, Yudi Yulius, Ruwaida (2022). Comparative Analysis of Bank Performance during COVID 19 Pandemic Period between Year 2020 and Year 2021 in Indonesia (Case Study: PT Bank Rakyat Indonesia (Persero) Tbk). *East African Scholars J Econ Bus Manag*, 5(10), 277-281.

Hasil Turnitin

ORIGINALITY REPORT

90%

SIMILARITY INDEX

90%

INTERNET SOURCES

12%

PUBLICATIONS

15%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1

www.easpublisher.com

Internet Source

88%

2

Submitted to Universitas Merdeka Malang

Student Paper

1%

3

docplayer.info

Internet Source

<1%

4

Rafael Komang Eric Juanaristo, Ida Bagus Putra Astika. "Assessment of Bank's Financial Health Using Risk Profile, Good Corporate Governance, Earnings, Capital (RGEC) Analysis", European Journal of Business and Management Research, 2022

Publication

<1%

5

media.neliti.com

Internet Source

<1%

Exclude quotes Off

Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography Off

Hasil Turnitin

PAGE 1

PAGE 2

PAGE 3

PAGE 4

PAGE 5
