



## Research Synergy Press

### Review Form

Thank you for joining as a reviewer for Research Synergy Press. As a reviewer, you have the responsibility to protect the confidentiality of the ideas represented in the papers you review. The reviewer should make every effort to treat each paper fairly, even if they accidentally discover the identity of the authors of the paper. We will gratefully acknowledge your involvement in Research Synergy Press.

The following is a form sent to reviewers of Research Synergy Press. Please remember to be constructively critical, since (mostly) the author still have an opportunity to revise the papers after review process. Also, take into consideration that the author(s) may have access to your review and comments.

Section	Key Point of Review
<b>Paper Title</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Normally 15 words</li><li>- Brief phrase describing/reflect the content of the paper</li><li>- Concise and informative</li><li>- Avoid abbreviations, preposition, and formulae where possible</li><li>- Avoid state the detail location of research</li></ul>
<b>Abstract</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Average 100-250 words</li><li>- Contains: Introductory statement, objective, scope/methodology, findings, conclusion</li><li>- Written in past tenses (if the research already is done)</li></ul>
<b>Introduction</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Provides insights into the current or past problem</li><li>- The objective must appear in the last paragraph</li></ul>
<b>Literature Review</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Provide a comprehensive literature review</li><li>- References cited dominated by scientific work/ scientific article from Scientific journals.</li></ul>

<b>Methodology</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Provide details of methodology and administration</li> <li>- Use references and supplementary materials for previously published procedures</li> </ul>
<b>Findings/ Analysis/ Discussion</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Provide scientific impact through discussion and analysis.</li> <li>- Provide clear data result and analysis</li> <li>- Provide comparing with the published result (if any) and convincing that the result of the research is better/ correct</li> </ul>
<b>Conclusion</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Most fulfill the study objectives</li> <li>- Including study practical implications/ recommendation for future work</li> </ul>
<b>References</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- References dominated by Scientific article from scientific journals</li> <li>- Avoid excessive self-citation</li> </ul>

I. GENERAL INFORMATION

<b>Title</b>	Analysis Of Usability Level PeduliLindungi Application
<b>Date received</b>	
<b>Deadline Review</b>	
<b>Response to the Script</b>	

II. MANUSCRIPT REVIEW FORM (fill up with **v** and comment)

Section	Excellent	Good	Fair	Comment
<b>Paper Title</b>		<b>v</b>		
<b>Abstract</b>		<b>v</b>		
<b>Introduction</b>			<b>v</b>	Please add more literatures about usability and how it is analyzed in the previous study. It is still not clear about the novelty of this study, you should include the research objective at the end of the paragraph
<b>Literature Review</b>			<b>v</b>	The literature review should not only a summary of literatures, but it's a synthesis that discuss the significance of the literature related with your research. So, instead of summary of each literature, you can make subheading by common topic that discussed in the previous literatures
<b>Methodology</b>			<b>v</b>	Kindly explain how do you develop the questionnaire instruments. If it is adopted from previous study, you have to cite it
<b>Findings/ Discussion</b>		<b>v</b>		Please add more discussion regarding the implication of the result Please check, there are still Indonesia language
<b>Conclusion</b>			<b>v</b>	Please add the theoretical implication as well
<b>References</b>		<b>v</b>		

**III. REVIEW DECISION**

<b>No.</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>Decision*</b>
1.	Accepted submission	
2.	Revisions required	<b>v</b>
3.	Resubmit for review	
4.	Decline submission	

\*) Marked the check (v) on your answer